BEST AVAILABLE COPY

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

■ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

		No. of the last of		Washington, D.C. 20231			
	1-2 PRUGATION NUMBER 0-5 FILING DATE:	ESSMEIN.	FIRST NAME	APPLICANT	Α	9496 ATT	Y, DOCKET NO.
	000151 HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC. PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT 340 KINGSLAND STREET NUTLEY NJ 07110	HM12/0	926		STOCK 1626		PAPER NUMBER / U 1
	This is a communication from the examiner in COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADE		cation.				
		OFFICE A	CTION S	UMMARY			
wt the	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FiNAL. Since this application is in condition for a accordance with the practice under Ex p shortened statutory period for response to alchever is longer, from the mailing date of a application to become abandoned. (35 U 136(a).	illowance except fo arte Quayle, 1935 this action is set to this communication	D.C. 11; 450 expire n. Failure to	3 O.G. 213. respond with	mo	nth(s), entities	ll cause
Dł	sposition of Claims						
	Claim(s)	1-24 21 and 224	22	are		withdrawn from is/o	n the application. om consideration. are allowed. are rejected. objected to. ction requirement.
Ą	plication Papers						
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed o The specification is objected to by the Ex The oath or declaration is objected to by	n	Review, PTO		ted to by the E	examiner. approved	disapproved.
Pr	fority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
×	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the Company of the Company o	CERTIFIED copies	of the priori	ty documents	``	EST A	VAII 10
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority ur	nder 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e).			
At	tachment(s)						
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-	O-1449, Paper No	(s)				

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

09/475,943

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 7 and 21-24 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of Group II in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that: (1) it would not be a serious burden on the Examiner to search all of the claims at this time; (2) an election of species should not be required; and (3) it is improper for the Patent and Trademark Office to require a restriction within a single claim. Applicants cite *In re Weber et al.*, 198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978).

All of Applicants' arguments have been considered but have not been found persuasive. Section 121 provides the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks with the authority to restrict applications to several claimed inventions when those inventions are found to be independent and distinct. In the instant application, the Examiner has

Art Unit: 1626

determined that several independent and distinct inventions are claimed in the application. As seen in the previous Office Action dated August 16, 2001 {Paper No. 8}, the inventions of Groups I and II are classified in two different areas. The proviso in claim 7 is also noted. Therefore, separate search considerations are involved which would impose an undue burden on the Examiner and the Patent Office's resources to examine the instant application in its entirety. An election of species was required for examination only. The entire scope of elected Group II has been examined. Further, unlike in the instant application, the claims in *In re Weber et al.* were rejected under Section 121.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 21 and 22 and subject matter not embraced by elected Group II is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no

Art Unit: 1626

allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.

It is suggested that in order to advance prosecution, the non-elected subject matter be canceled when responding to this Office Action.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/508,714, filed on April 11, 2000.

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words.

Art Unit: 1626

It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the

Art Unit: 1626

specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention.

In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of the skill in the art.

The specification does not give any guidance as to the meaning of the phrase "and derivatives of said compounds", which is found in claim 7 or how such derivatives of said compounds of formula (I) are made.

Art Unit: 1626

The nature of the pharmaceutical arts is that it involves screening <u>in vitro</u> and <u>in vivo</u> to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities. There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face. In order to practice the claimed invention, one skilled in the art would have to speculate what Applicants contemplated by the phrase "and derivatives of said compounds" and this would impose undue experimentation on the skilled art worker. Therefore, the claims are not enabled.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

Art Unit: 1626

distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is meant by the phrase "and derivatives of said compounds" in claim 7. The last compound in claim 23 and the first compound in claim 24 are misspelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

The elected invention of Group II is free of the art of record. The closest prior art of record, PL 151315, fails to teach or suggest an unsaturated chain at the instant R¹ position.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1875.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Art Unit: 1626

Page 9

A facsimile center has been established. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machine are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600

September 25, 2001